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## Learning Objectives

After this lecture, you will be able to:

1. Describe the statistical analysis conducted by John Graunt.
2. Calculate period life expectancy at birth from a life table.
3. Explain in what sense life expectancy is a fair representation of a population's longevity.
4. Graph a simple tree diagram using ggtree. See Appendix for $R$ code.

## These slides use the following $R$ packages

Setup:
library("tidyverse")
library("treeio")
library("ggtree")
library("knitr")
theme_set (theme_bw())

The package ggtree is not available on the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN). Install it from Bioconductor:
install.packages("BiocManager")
BiocManager: install("ggtree")

## Observations on the Bills of Mortality

- The bills reported the number of burials (deaths) in London.
$\triangleright$ Sporadic publication started in the sixteenth century. Weekly publication began in 1603-Londoners could subscribe for a fee.
$\triangleright$ Bills counted deaths by cause, e.g. plague, measles, and old age.
$\triangleright$ Londoners used the bills as a plague warning system: to identify outbreaks and determine when to leave or return to the city.
- Graunt's Observations on the Bills of Mortality (1662) was the first publication to analyze the bills statistically and answer the most pressing demographic questions of the time.
$\triangleright$ Among the 106 observations listed in the book's index, he found London's population was lower than previously estimated, and the population lost after a plague outbreak rebounded faster.
- To answer these questions, Graunt calculated several new statistics.
$\triangleright$ The most famous and our focus: (period) life expectancy at birth.
$\triangleright$ More importantly, Graunt's analysis demonstrated the value of statistics. Cities raced to collect more data, initiating the field.


## Bill of Mortality (Company of Parish Clerks, 1665)



[^0]Source: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Bill_of_Mortality.jpg

## John Graunt (1623-1687) and Observations (1662)



Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Graunt\#/media/File:JohnGraunt.png
http://resource.nlm.nih.gov/2356017R

## How did Graunt calculate life expectancy at birth?

- He constructed a life table: the proportion of deaths at each age.
$\triangleright$ Today the proportion is interpreted as the probability a person randomly chosen at birth will die at that age.
- He grouped ages into stages (0-6, 7-16, 17-26, ..., 67-76, 76-79, 80)
- Denote the proportion $p_{n}=\mathbb{P}$ ("die in stage n ")
$\triangleright$ Life expectancy at birth is average stage/age attained: $\sum_{n} n p_{n}$
- The challenge was that the bills did not record age at death.
$\triangleright$ Graunt only observed the number of deaths from each cause-as well as other records like the number of christenings and weddings.
$\triangleright$ By comparing christenings with causes primarily affecting children, he calculated the death rate among the 0-6 age group to be $9 / 25$.
- Graunt then assumed the death rate was the same at every stage.
$\triangleright$ This is equivalent to modeling survival as a multistage coin-flipping experiment. One survives to stage n by flipping tails " n " times.
$\triangleright$ Coin weight $p=\mathbb{P}$ ("die in stage $\mathrm{n} " \mid$ "alive in stage $\mathrm{n}-1$ " $) \approx 9 / 25$
$\triangleright$ We will see in a moment that $p_{n}=(1-p)^{n-1} p$.


## Graunt's life table in Observations (1662)




## Graunt's life table (per hundred births)

```
life_table <-
    tibble(Age =c( 0, 6,16,26,36,46,56,66,76,80),
    Deaths =c( 0,36,24,15, 9, 6, 4, 3, 2, 1),
    Survivors = c(100,64,40,25,16,10, 6, 3, 1, 0))
kable(life_table)
```

| Age | Deaths | Survivors |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 6 | 36 | 64 |
| 16 | 24 | 40 |
| 26 | 15 | 25 |
| 36 | 9 | 16 |
| 46 | 6 | 10 |
| 56 | 4 | 6 |
| 66 | 3 | 3 |
| 76 | 2 | 1 |
| 80 | 1 | 0 |

## $\mathbb{P}($ "die in stage $\mathbf{n "} \mid$ "alive in stage $\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1} ") \approx 9 / 25$

```
life_table %>%
mutate(`Stage` = replace(row_number() - 2, 1, NA),
    `Deaths (approx)` = 100 * (1-9/25)^Stage * 9/25,
    `Deaths (approx)` = 100 * dgeom(Stage, 9/25)) %>%
    kable(digits = 1)
```

| Age | Deaths | Survivors | Stage | Deaths (approx) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 100 | NA | NA |
| 6 | 36 | 64 | 0 | 36.0 |
| 16 | 24 | 40 | 1 | 23.0 |
| 26 | 15 | 25 | 2 | 14.7 |
| 36 | 9 | 16 | 3 | 9.4 |
| 46 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6.0 |
| 56 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3.9 |
| 66 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 2.5 |
| 76 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1.6 |
| 80 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1.0 |

## Step 1: Enumerate all possible outcomes



## Step 2: Label the probability of outcomes by stage



## Step 3: Multiply vertical probabilities



## Step 4: Add probability-weighted outcomes


$\mathbb{E}[w]=\sum_{n} w_{n} p_{n} \approx 18$

## Life expectancy at birth using Graunt's table

```
life_table %>%
    mutate(`Mid Period Age` = Age - c(0, diff(Age))/2) %>%
    slice_head(n = 3) %>% kable()
```

| Age | Deaths | Survivors | Mid Period Age |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| 6 | 36 | 64 | 3 |
| 16 | 24 | 40 | 11 |

```
life_table %>%
    mutate(`Mid Period Age` = Age - c(0, diff(Age))/2) %>%
    summarize(`Life Expectancy from Birth` =
        sum(`Mid Period Age` * `Deaths`) / 100) %>% kable()
```

            Life Expectancy from Birth
        18.19
    
## Life expectancy from age 6 is an interrupted game


$\mathbb{E}[w]=\sum_{n} w_{n} p_{n} \approx 27$

## Graunt's life table if starting from age 6
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\hline Age \& Deaths \& Survivors \& Mid Period Age \& Deaths Starting from Age 6 <br>
\hline 0 \& 0 \& 100 \& 0 \& 0.0 <br>
\hline 6 \& 36 \& 64 \& 3 \& 0.0 <br>
\hline 16 \& 24 \& 40 \& 11 \& 37.5 <br>
\hline 26 \& 15 \& 25 \& 21 \& 23.4 <br>
\hline 36 \& 9 \& 16 \& 31 \& 14.1 <br>
\hline 46 \& 6 \& 10 \& 41 \& 9.4 <br>
\hline 56 \& 4 \& 6 \& 51 \& 6.2 <br>
\hline 66 \& 3 \& 3 \& 61 \& 4.7 <br>
\hline 76 \& 2 \& 1 \& 71 \& 3.1 <br>
\hline 80 \& 1 \& 0 \& 78 \& 1.6 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Graunt's methods immediately and widely adopted.

- His analyses were revolutionary and brought him instant fame.
$\triangleright$ Graunt held a number of political offices before publication-already a great achievement given his modest background.
$\triangleright$ But upon completing Observations, he was admitted into the Royal Society, the new and elite academic circle of the day.
- Much of his legacy due to his careful assessment of data quality.
$\triangleright$ For example, Graunt thought deaths were systematically misclassified. Plague deaths by as much as $25 \%$ during outbreaks.
- Data collectors were likely bribed to misclassify plague deaths to avoid quarantine policies.
- Families may also have bribed them to misclassify embarrassing diseases like syphilis.
- Today, (period) life expectancy at birth is the most common measure of population health.
$\triangleright$ World life expectancy has doubled over the past century, although substantial inequality exists among countries.


## Life expectancy (1770-2019, Our World in Data)
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## Appendix: Newick Representation of Decision Tree

\# Tree coded using Newick format
\#\# parens. denote grouping of terminal nodes
\#\# c.f. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Newick_format
tree_text <- "(b:1.5, (c:1.5,(d:1.5, e:5)))a;"
tree_data <- treeio: :read.newick(text = tree_text)
tree_data\$edge.length[c(2, 4, 6)] <- 2
tree_labels <- tibble(label = letters[1:5],
outcome = paste0("Age~", seq $(-4,36,10)$ ),
probability $=$ paste0(paste0("p[",0:4), "] == ", paste0("(16/25) ^\{",-1:3,"\}", c(rep("~9/25", 4), ""))))
ggtree(tree_data) + layout_dendrogram()


## Appendix: Graph a lightly annotated tree

```
(decision_tree_unlabeled <-
ggtree(tree_data) %<+% tree_labels +
    theme(plot.margin = unit(c(0,0,10,10), "mm")) +
    layout_dendrogram() + annotate("label",
        x = -sort(rep(seq(1, 5, 2), 2), decreasing = TRUE)-.5,
        y = c(1, 2.75, 2, 3.5, 3, 4),
        label = c(rep(c("Die", "Live"), 2), "Die", "Die")))
```

$\stackrel{\text { Die }}{7}$


## Appendix: Graph an annotated tree
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